DOI: https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2022.1004.0287

Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences

Volume 10, Number 4, 2022, Pages 1282–1290
Journal Homepage:
https://journals.internationalrasd.org/index.php/pjhss

# Future of Bio-Waste Management in the Context of Developed Countries Legislation

Seema Gul[1], Abdus Samad Khan[2], Humaira Meer[3]

[1] Research Associate at ARCAITL Research at Law, Pakistan. Email: gulseema03@gmail.com
[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Welcome to Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Pakistan. Email: abdus@awkum.edu.pk
[3] Lecturer, Department of Law, University of Swabi, Pakistan. Email: humairameermeer@gmail.com

**ARTICLE INFO**

***Article History:***
Received: August 21, 2022
Revised: December 13, 2022
Accepted: December 19, 2022
Available Online: December 31, 2022

***Keywords:***
Environment
Future of Bio Waste
Bio-Waste Management System
Developed Countries
Laws on Bio Waste

***Funding:***
This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

**ABSTRACT**

In The next ten years, financing and public interest will be expected to turn to Bio-waste management. Municipalities are frequently the "owners" of the fodder because they are the owners of the garbage produced on their property and are responsible for managing it. A stricter set of guidelines were added to the Waste Framework Directive in 2018 to ensure that waste streams, particularly Bio-waste, be collected separately (from December 31, 2023). In addition, it demanded raising overall recycling goals to 65 percentage points by 2035 and diverting biodegradable trash from landfills and incinerators. In order to increase their Bio-waste collection, avoidance, and recycling rates in line with EU regulations, local and regional governments should refer to the overview provided in the current policy brief on EU efforts. Policies that support a regional circular economy. Additionally, it includes several noteworthy Europe excellent practices and EU-funded projects with a high degree of dependability and adaptation to various municipal contexts. The main article focuses on the future of Bio-waste management and its legislation in developed countries. The qualitative research methodology has been applied in this article.



Corresponding Author's Email: gulseema03@gmail.com

## 1. Introduction

Bio-Waste makes up an essential component of community trash. Its separate collection is a critical component in industrialized and developing countries' waste management systems. Bio Waste fertilizer dissolving and Bio-Waste burning in waste-to-energy plants are three market-ready technologies that are now used to handle Bio Waste as a factor of residual municipal solid waste (RES). Because of the exponential increase in waste output that has happened in line with population expansion, rising livelihood values, urbanization, and fast improvement, community soil waste management has become a substantial concern for the public establishment, particularly in emerging nations. At the same time, municipal solid waste management agencies require the communications and capability needed to gather and dump rubbish to meet expanding demand effectively. In rising states, rural and urban migration has resulted in unexpected urban settlement, putting local administrations under much strain. Consequently, managing domestic frozen trash has become a major awkward block to urban growth. Nonetheless, there is a quality and efficiency mismatch between these services' demand and supply. The municipal solid waste issue has become a significant barrier to developing nations' long-term growth. Solid waste management has proven challenging for local governments due to a lack of funding, municipalities' inadequate institutional capacity to adhere to current solid waste management frameworks, insufficient resources for waste collection, transportation, therapeutic interventions, and disposal, restricted technical competence, and a reduced level of public awareness (Rajan, Robin, & Vandanarani, 2019).

## 2. Future of Bio-waste Management

Compost generation should be the first and recommended alternative for bio-waste recycling, according to experience from nations with developed bio-waste recycling programs. Implementing compost production at the local, regional, or supra regional level is relatively simple and affordable. If biological material with a high biogas potential is available, compost generation and biogas production, i.e., by anaerobic digestion, can coexist. The commercial benefit produced per ton of bio-waste could rise as a result. After infrastructure for anaerobic digestion and composting has been developed, new technologies can be connected to this foundation for effective bio-waste management. One example is the use of Bio-waste as a source for bio-based chemicals, fibers, and nutrients in Bio-refinery operations. Future technological advancements could combine anaerobic digestion and composting facilities with Bio-refinery technology. This is necessary because, technically speaking, only a tiny portion of heterogeneous bio-waste can produce high-value goods like bio-plastics, bio-chemicals, and materials derived from living organisms. The remaining portion of organic waste will still be converted into compost and production of biogas products, ending biological processes and enhancing the health and condition of the soil (Gul, Ullah, & Qasim, 2022).

## 3. Legislation of Developed Countries

The developed world has been introduced to a bio waste management business potential. Strong waste management businesses can aid climate security, decentralization, monetary recovery, and job creation. Such as Waste collecting, managing, and organizing waste capacity, transportation, change, and energy recuperation from waste squandering are all viable business options for solid waste management. A business venture begins with the conception of an idea and concludes with accomplishing task goals. Indeed, in rich and developing countries, a shortage of assets has hampered the public sector's improvement of waste management administrations. Entrepreneurs may invest not just in inefficient trash management but in new thoughts, developments, and abilities that can turn trash from a risk to a resource. The involvement of business visionaries boosts the efficiency of effective waste management. Indeed, it has been established that the participation of business visionaries in adequate trash managing preparation can lower assistance expenses in American urban communities with higher work ages and vehicle usefulness in half.

Innovative waste management initiatives can vary from a one-person operation to a large enterprise, including many talented and inept employees. It has been demonstrated that solid waste management is a severe work cycle with enormous potential to produce new employment, depending upon the kind of task and the degree of innovativeness. Waste collection, transportation, reuses and reuse, up cycling, and energy generation are essential business areas (Christodoulou & Stamatelatou, 2016). The UK has a four-year minimization time to reach the Landfill Directive's targets. By 2009, the UK had already met its 2013 Landfill decree goal, indicating that the Landfill Directive targets had been attained without needing derogation period. With current policies, the UK should be able to significantly meet the 2020 goal with current rubbish diversion rates if the landfill tax escalation is prolonged beyond 2014. Based on municipal solid waste recycling growth rates seen in the first decade of the century, it appears the UK will meet its 2020 goal of 50 percent municipal solid waste recycling. Nevertheless, additional actions may be required due to a decline in municipal solid waste recycling development between 2009 and 2010 (Christodoulou & Stamatelatou, 2016).

### 3.1 UK Legislation for Bio-waste Management

Waste management practices in the UK are centered on "shared responsibility." Since everyone produces some waste, everyone can help stop waste growth. Additionally, all facets of society are accountable for adequately disposing of, recycling, and repurposing waste. The trash policy in the UK is constantly evolving. Significant advancements have been made to how garbage is produced and disposed of in the UK since the Waste Strategy for England and Wales was published in 2000. These changes are primarily the result of EU waste rules. An appropriate Waste Management Plan for London was released by Defray in 2013 and built upon the successes of the 2000 policy and the ensuing 2007 Waste Strategy for England. Wales, Scotland, and Northern Ireland have comparable waste management plans ((Slater & Frederickson, 2009). According to the waste hierarchy, a fundamental goal of government policy is to increase recycling and decrease the amount of garbage in landfills. The type and quantity of trash that can be treated in landfills in Wales and England are restricted by the

Environmental Permitting (Britain and Wales) Regulations 2010 and the Landfill Allowance Scheme (Wales). Similar landfill rules apply in Scotland and Northern Ireland.

The waste management hierarchy, an idea from the European Union, is the foundation of all waste policy in the United Kingdom. In accordance with the waste hierarchy, anybody in charge of waste management must take into account prevention, planning for recycling and reuse, and other forms of recovery like energy recovery and disposal. In any waste regulation or policy, prevention, getting ready for repurposing and recycling should come first (Araya, 2018). Laws govern hazardous waste disposal in Wales and England. Regulations are the same in Scotland and Northern Ireland. More large volumes of hazardous waste must be rid of in precisely controlled waste facilities. At the same time, it may still be permissible for individual homes to dispose of a modest amount in regular rubbish collection. Batteries, entire and shredded tires, and hazardous liquid waste cannot be disposed of in landfills in the United Kingdom. When producing, moving, receiving, or disposing of hazardous waste, the Environmental Protection Agency provides instructions. Additionally, the UK waste policy seeks to lessen the volume and degree of waste product that poses a risk.

The federal government wants to make recycling significantly easier for people and companies. Various efforts have indeed been implemented to encourage the general public to consider trash as a resource and establish a recycling and reuse culture. For instance, the Welsh Government began charging 5p for single-use tote bags in 2011. In England, the UK government implemented a 5p charge for single plastic bags from large retailers in October 2015. These laws encourage using reusable bags, which reduce pollution and waste production (Mühle, Balsam, & Cheeseman, 2010). *5R hierarchy of waste disposal*

#### 3.1.1 Refuse

Reject the first step in the hierarchy of the five R's. Although adopting this tactic in corporate strategy may require some experience, it is the most efficient technique to reduce waste.

#### 3.1.2 Use Less Hazardous, Pointless, and Non-recyclable Materials

In order to lessen waste to landfill and its detrimental consequences on the Environment, dependence on these items can be minimized. Always use the bare minimum amount necessary to avoid wasting anything.

#### 3.1.3 Reuse items at business rather than buy new ones to reduce waste

Start by focusing on only one part of the company, like the break room, and substitute all single-use items, including water bottles, paper bags, Ceramic mugs, and drinking straws, with sustainable or biodegradable alternatives.

#### 3.1.4 Repurpose

Any item that cannot be refused, reduced, or used should be tried again. In the environmental community, this procedure is sometimes alluded to as up cycling. The number of ordinary office products that have several functions may surprise you. Despite the abundance of possibilities, inventiveness is occasionally required. Extra printer papers can be used as scrap paper, binder clamps can be employed to hold power lines and converters in place, and cartons can be employed to hold supplies. Furthermore, sometimes even mason jars, coffee cups, and liquor bottles can store pen and pencil cases.

#### 3.1.5 Recycle

Start collecting cardboard, mixed paper products, commingled products (plastics, aluminum, and glass), and hydrocarbons if your organization has not already done so. Recycling provides the most environmentally friendly approach to eliminating waste (Saveyn, H., & Eder, P. 2014).

### 3.2 USA Legislation for Bio-waste Management

Based on the World Bank, humans produce an astounding two billion tons of trash annually or around 4.5 trillion pounds. By 2050, there will likely be 3.4 billion tons of trash worldwide. The United States has set a goal for 2030 to reduce waste and food loss to lessen future bio-waste issues. (a) Dealing with climate change (b) Boost economic efficiency, production, and food security (c) Preserve resources, including energy. In the United States, approximately 30 and 40 percent of the produced food is never eaten, wasting resources and harming the Environment. Wastage is unquestionably the most often discarded in landfills and incinerated in the United States. Upwards of 85percent of the total greenhouse gas emissions from food waste land filled are caused by the production, transportation, manufacturing, and distribution of food waste and other pre-disposal operations. In order to reduce these pollutants, we must initially halt the manufacturing of food waste (Bilitewski, Härdtle, & Marek, 1996).

On September 16, 2015, the "*US Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)*" unveiled the nation's first-ever domestic goal: the 2030 Food Loss and Reducing Waste Target. The goal is to cut food loss and waste in half by 2030. By working toward this goal, the United States may increase food security, minimize the harm that food wastage does to the climate and Environment, and make it possible for individuals and businesses to save money. Under the direction of the EPA, USDA, and Food and Drug Administration, the federal government has been trying to work with communities, organizations, and companies as well as our colleagues in state, regional, and municipal government to achieve this aim (Arvanitoyannis, 2010). The vast majority are ignorant of how much food they waste daily, especially uneaten leftovers, expired products, and fruit and vegetable parts that could be used in other ways. The United States produces one-third of all produced food lost or squandered. According to EPA estimates, nearly 81 percent of the 20.3 tons of discarded food from households in 2018 ended up in landfills or incineration facilities. One of the simplest and most effective ways to save money, lessen one's environmental impact, and combat climate change is to prevent food from going to waste. This is done by lowering greenhouse gas (GHG) production and preserving natural resources. By only purchasing what you need, using what you purchase, and limiting food waste, you may prevent waste and save money. Each year, the typical household of four expenditures $1,500 on uneaten food (Glasser, Chang, & Hickman, 1991).

### 3.3 Reduce environmental and climate change

- Save energies and resources: Food waste results in the loss of resources used in production, manufacturing, transportation, preparation, storage, and disposal, including the ground, water, power, and other infrastructure.
- Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions: Producing, moving, preparing, and distributing food are only a few of the processes that result in more than 85percentage points of the GHG emissions from food waste that is land filled. A potent greenhouse gas, carbon, is also released when food spoils in a landfill. The 2030 waste and food loss reduction goal is measured and described concerning the baselines listed below.
- For food waste in the United States: The quantity of food waste produced by the industry and the amounts managed by various management paths are estimated in the EPA's *"Advancing Sustainable Materials Management, Facts, and Figures."* The 2030 objective, which aims to minimize the quantity of food from retailing, food service, and households eliminated from the human supply chain, was amended by the EPA in September 2021 to align with the baseline. Food waste treated in a landfill, combustion, sewer, garbage, disregards, trash, combined anaerobic, decomposition, and farmland applications are examples. Using this adjusted technique and baseline, 329 pounds of foodstuff waste per person were sent to landfills in 2016, as well as managed combustion; wastewater, co/anaerobic decomposition, composting leach ate, and land applications. The goal is to reduce food waste by half by 2030, reaching 165 pounds per person (Ackerman, 2000).

The quantity of food supply that was accessible but went uncooked at the consumer and retail levels has been calculated for food loss in the "*U. S. by the USDA's Economic Research Service*. "With an expected cost of $162.8 billion, food loss in the foundation year of 2010 was 31percentage points of the total food production or 133 billion pounds. The goal for 2030 is to cut consumer and retail food loss by about 68 billion pounds. The United States is taking additional steps to manage Bio-waste going forward. The evolution of waste management starts with technological developments and continues there. Like every other industry, the waste management business must advance its field of work by becoming digital and information. Because the future is clever and competitive, businesses must constantly stay one level ahead of their competitors. These actions in hand sensors can be used to determine fill trends, improve driver schedules and routes, and lower operating costs when intelligent waste management solutions are adopted over time. Intelligent dumpsters will become more valuable and enticing to businesses, and officials from local governments as the cost of these detectors continues to decline (Ackerman, 2000).

Solid waste management in an intelligent way enhances sanitary and hygienic conditions. Additionally, limiting the spread of viruses and bacteria that flourish in open waste improves public health and life quality. Modern times demand that hygiene be prioritized above all else, and manual labor should be avoided. Avoiding missed pickups, lowering waste-producing expenses associated with wasted fuel consumption, and lowering CO2 emissions are benefits of deploying innovative solutions for solid waste. Although the term *"sustainability"* has been used in the media since the late nineteenth century, strategies for sustainability have recently become more significant. To boost their sustainability and maintain a customer-friendly attitude, businesses have started branding themselves as environmentally friendly. The government is thought to benefit society by measuring demography awareness for home and individual waste management behaviors and taking action over them (Gul et al., 2022). In the future, waste management will involve recycling much more. Although recycling procedures appear to be the dominant system element, wider public involvement in creating a more efficient waste management framework is essential in this case. Using intelligent dumpsters will eliminate the requirement for a physical inspection of each container. This creative trash management approach lowers gasoline costs and usage. Consequently, this decrease enables waste collection businesses or governments to utilize their resources efficiently.

- Elimination of missed pickups: Trash cans will not overflow again since route optimization is now essential for sensible waste management. When they are complete, however, those nearly full will be considered.
- Reduction of CO2 emissions: Real-time data-driven scheduling of garbage pickup vehicles results in less carbon footprint. As a result, every step of the conventional garbage collection system becomes more ecologically friendly thanks to intelligent solutions for waste management (Ackerman, 2000).

### 3.4 Germany Legislation for Bio-waste Management

The most significant single element of municipal garbage is Bio-waste, primarily made up of food and yard waste but also produced in industry and agriculture. The updated Waste Framework Directive (EU, 2008, 2018b) issued in 2018 included several significant modifications, including requiring all EU Member States to gather Bio-waste separately or guarantee recycling at the source beginning in 2023.It is unlikely that new standards for the processing of municipal waste for reuse and recycling, in addition to the Landfill Directive's landfill decline targets for municipal rubbish, will be achieved without efficient Bio-waste supervision, will be met. Additionally, the absurd goal of reducing food waste is consistent with Sustainable Development Goal 12.3, which calls for halving food waste by 2030, as well as a directive for the European Commission to adopt a legally binding goal of reducing food waste by the end of 2023.RequireEU member states to develop particular food waste prevention initiatives and to monitor and report their nation's annual food waste generation beginning in 2020.

Additionally, according to the 2020 resource efficiency action plan, responsible Bio-waste management will significantly help cut the quantity of remaining (non-recycled) municipal waste in half by 2030. (EC, 2020b). In the coming years, national, regional, and municipal policymakers and stakeholders will need to make crucial decisions about the ecological sustainability of Bio-waste following European policy (Mühle et al., 2010). German waste management information is available in publications and data by "*the Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, and Nuclear Safety*." The Kreislauf wirtschafts gesetz (KrWG), a new law in Germany, intends to transform waste management into managing resources. Metals, glassware, and textiles have all been collected in the past and used in novel ways, proving that garbage can be a significant source of energy and raw resources. Germany's waste management strategy, which has been in place for the past twenty years, is centered on complete cycles and gives producers and distributors responsibility for product disposal. This has raised awareness of the need for waste separation, spurred the development of innovative disposal techniques, and enhanced recycling capacity.

Closed cycle management benefits businesses financially in addition to helping the Environment. In Germany, the waste management industry has evolved into a sizable and influential economic sector, employing close to 200,000 people across around 3,000 businesses, with a yearly turnover of over 40 billion Euros. Fifteen thousand installations use recycling and resource recovery techniques to increase resource efficiency. High recycling percentages of roughly 60% for business garbage, 60% for municipal waste, and 90% for waste from building and demolition speak for themselves (Meyer-Kohlstock, Hädrich, Bidlingmaier, & Kraft, 2013).

### 3.5 Waste Management Policy

The "waste hierarchy" is a collection of five phases for managing trash that is classified based on the environmental impact in Article 4 of the updated "*EU Waste Framework Directive (Directive 2008/98/EC)*."According to the waste hierarchy, the first priority is to stop waste from ever being created. When garbage is produced, it is prioritized in descending order of environmental preference for reuse, recycling, alternative recovery (like energy recovery), and dumping (landfill after pre-treatment). German legislation has incorporated the waste hierarchy. Creating a national waste prevention program is necessary (Article 33 KrWG). The program creates waste avoidance goals, displays and assesses current interventions, and creates new interventions. The goal is to enhance waste avoidance policies and increase public awareness. 2013 saw the creation of the Federal Ministry of the Environment's first trash prevention program. The Closed Cycle Management Act has also given rise to the possibility of mandatorily establishing a *"standard recycling bin"* across the country. Households should place packaging and other waste made of the same materials, such as plastic or metal, in a separate recycling bin under this collection method. As a result, it is possible to recover recyclables from household waste in excellent quality and quantity. A second law will soon be developed to control further aspects of collecting recyclables. Wastes cannot be land filled without pre-treatment as of June 1, 2005. Incineration facilities or mechanical-biological water treatment facilities are used for the pre-treatment. Waste must be handled in a way that prevents decomposition in a landfill. Recoverable materials must be separated before land filling, and waste energy must be used.

Germany introduced separate collections for waste, domestic waste, and other types of waste upwards of twenty years ago. In the early 1990s, waste management was rethought due to various environmental harms, a shortage of landfill space, and the usage of scarce resources. The need to collect and use all types of organic waste separately is now strongly supported by reasons related to climate change and energy consumption; according to the EU's waste framework regulation, the "*Waste Management Act of 2012's*" 11th paragraph mandates waste makers and authorized waste management bodies to collect Bio-waste separately (Nelles, Gruenes, & Morscheck, 2016).

### 3.6 Bio-waste Ordinance 2012 in Germany

The application of untreated and treated bio-wastes and combinations on land used only for agricultural, horticultural, and silvi-cultural purposes is covered by the 2012 Bio-waste Ordinance (BioAbfV) amendments. Additionally included are appropriate primary raw material, quality, and hygienic standards, and the treatment and examination of such Bio-waste and mixes. The Bio-waste Ordinance governs the waste side of the application (such as heavy metals) from a cautious standpoint, whereas the Fertilizer Regulation governs the nutrient side (Schüch, Morscheck, Lemke, & Nelles, 2016).

- Fertilizer Law (DüV 2007): provides the framework for the unique fertilizing code of practice and outlines the unique needs for organic fertilizers. It limits using fertilizers with accurate nitrogen contents during the winter (Löw, Osterburg, & Klages, 2021).
- Fertilizer Ordinance (DüMV 2012): The fertilizer regulation applies to compost made from biodegradable trash since it is a secondary fertilizer source (or seldom as soil improving agent). It is required to state the fertilizer's type, source material, micronutrients, and other product characteristics. Compost and digestate must adhere to the Fertilizer Ordinance's threshold levels for contaminants such as PFT, PCCD, or dl-PCB.

- *"Federal Soil Protection Law (BBodSchG 1998/ BBodSchV 1999)":* guarantees soil functionality and specifies precautions to prevent soil contamination. The use of compost and biogas production for landscaping and replanting is relevant to the soil protection legislation.

## 4. Treatment of Separately Collected Bio-waste

Only when separately collected Bio-waste is properly processed can the potential advantages of separate Bio-waste collecting be realized. So, it is necessary to match a region's capacity for treating Bio-waste with the amount of distinct Bio-waste produced and collected. A plan for treatment According to the principles of the circular economy, anaerobic digestion and composting are the most prevalent techniques of treating separately collected bio-waste:

### 4.1 Composting

It is a process that takes place with oxygen present, typically in open-air windrows and vessels. Through the decomposition of organic solids, humic substances can be employed as fertilizer, soil enhancers, or parts of growing media. The procedure occurs best when a healthy balance of easily degradable, damp organic materials, like food waste, and organic matter that strengthens structures, like a garden waste. Anaerobic digestion is a procedure that creates biogas that can generate power and is carried out in sealed vessels without oxygen. Alternately, it can be converted into heat or upgraded into energy and the production of biogas that can be used to improve soil or as an organic fertilizer. Although lignin, a crucial component of wood, cannot be broken down by the method (see (Jäger, Morooka, Federici Canova, Himanen, & Foster, 2018) for an even more extensive explanation), it can employ a variety of organic input materials. Bio-wastes from many other sources, such as the food industry (Montoneri, 2017), can also be treated using the same methods employed for municipal Bio-waste. Municipal Bio-waste is frequently processed alongside other Bio-waste sources as a result. The Bio-waste treatment technique that enables the most material and energy recovery is typically the more environmentally friendly choice. The Joint Research Centre of the European Commission (JRC, 2011) identified a "hierarchy" of alternatives for bio-waste based on life-cycle analysis. The life-cycle assessment of any specific circumstance, they emphasized, could provide outcomes that differ from that hierarchy (Binner, Smidt, Tintner, Böhm, & Lechner, 2011).

### 4.2 Innovation Related to Energy Recovery from Bio-Waste

Other processes can turn Bio-waste into energy besides anaerobic fermentation, alcoholic fermentation, and burning, which all produce biogas. Combustion, hydrothermal catalytic cracking, and pyrolysis are some of these techniques (HTC).

- Pyrolysis is a thermo chemical method that transforms biomass into solid, aqueous, and gaseous compounds, allowing it to burn at extreme temperatures without oxygen (2017). Low-energy-density materials can be converted into high-energy-density bio-fuels, and higher-value compounds can be recovered by pyrolysis. Pyrolysis has the benefit of being able to employ a variety of raw materials, including domestic and industrial leftovers, but it is still challenging to make it economically feasible. Work is required to transition the most recent advancements into a pilot phase, followed by an industrial size (2017).
- Gasification is a thermal treatment technique that heats organic material to create syngas. The generated gas can be utilized as fuel or to make chemicals. Because it emits little pollutants and is a versatile technology that can treat various materials, gasification is seen as a potential method for Bio-waste treatment (2018). Additionally, syngas suited for various applications can be produced by combining a particular reactor's features and operating circumstances (2015). Municipal trash and agricultural wastes are the only materials that can be gasified widely for commercial use. The ability to create power, the effectiveness of the gasifier, and the gate fees that gasification companies charge for accepting Bio-waste determine the economics of gasifying biodegradable waste (2018).
- HTC is a thermo chemical process that transforms Bio-waste into hydro charcoal, which can then be processed into activated carbon. The process uses pressured water at a relatively low temperature (between 180 °C and 250 °C) while maintaining or exceeding saturation pressure (2016). The technique results in a clean, energy-dense

material that is simple to store and transport. Particularly suitable for Bio-waste with high levels of water is HTC.

- Production of bio-hydrogen, Hydrogen (H2), it is an important renewable energy source for which consumption has grown significantly in recent years, may also be produced from Bio-waste. Because they demand much energy, conventional methods for producing H2 are costly. Bio-waste can be utilized as feedstock in biological processes that produce H2. Much research has been done on biological mechanisms for producing H2, called dark fermented and photo fermentation.

Germany has a long history of successfully treating biological waste. With about Nine million tons of separately collected organic garbage included in municipal solid waste, it has firmly taken root at an advanced stage (Bio-waste in the bio bin and green waste). However, it is theoretically feasible to boost collection from two to four million tons (Taffuri, Sciullo, Diemer, & Nedelciu, 2021). There are two collection methods available. Households are encouraged to segregate specific recyclables from their rubbish bins and place this at the edge of the road for regular collection under the curbside collection scheme. Bring systems, also known as fixed point systems or drop-off locations, consist of sizable recycling containers in convenient locations (household waste recycling centers or supermarkets).Green trash, particularly woody plant waste, is gathered by a bring system; the bio bin is an element of a curbside recycling system. According to the EU's waste framework law, the "*Waste Management Act of 2012 (KrWG)*" mandates that waste producers and approved waste management authorities collect bio-waste separately as of January 1, 2015. As stated in the initial presentation, improvements in the organic waste collection are required. In areas without or with only a minimal relationship to the organic waste collection, establishing or growing a separate bio-waste collection is crucial. Measures to boost coverage rates and the caliber of material flows could be made available to regions with separate bio-waste collections.

## 5. Conclusion

By the end of 2023, the amended Waste Framework Directive (Directive 2018/851/EU, 10) mandates a separate Bio-waste collecting or recycling at the source. Each municipality must develop and put into place a unique Bio-waste collection plan. They can accomplish this by setting up a door-to-door collection of this organic portion or by setting up various locations for individuals to drop off their Bio-waste. As an alternative to, or in addition to, the public collection system, towns might encourage people to put their Bio-waste into individual or communal composting units directly (this is meant by *"Bio-waste material recycling at source"*). Only deviations from the collection and disposal of bio-waste would be permitted for technological, technical, and financial considerations. Member States must publish to the Commission the performance of the separate Bio-waste collection, including the types of materials collected, the territory covered, and any exceptions. The same Directive also establishes the European Union's goal to recycle 70% of municipal garbage by 2035. Bio-waste is the most significant single element of municipal garbage, accounting for one-third of all waste generated in the EU. As a result, municipalities cannot meet their goal of 70% recycling without tackling the Bio-waste problem.

## References

Ackerman, F. (2000). Waste Management and Climate Change. *Local Environment, 5*(2), 223-229. doi:10.1080/13549830050009373

Araya, M. N. (2018). A Review of Effective Waste Management from an EU, National, and Local Perspective and its Influence: The Management of Biowaste and Anaerobic Digestion of Municipal Solid Waste. *Journal of Environmental Protection, 9*(6), 652-670. doi:10.4236/jep.2018.96041

Arvanitoyannis, I. S. (2010). *Waste management for the food industries*: Academic Press.

Bilitewski, B., Härdtle, G., & Marek, K. (1996). *Waste management*: Springer Science & Business Media.

Binner, E., Smidt, E., Tintner, J., Böhm, K., & Lechner, P. (2011). How to Enhance Humification During Composting of Separately Collected Biowaste: Impact of Feedstock and Processing. *Waste management & research, 29*(11), 1153-1163. doi:10.1177/0734242X11413954

Christodoulou, A., & Stamatelatou, K. (2016). Overview of Legislation on Sewage Sludge Management in Developed Countries Worldwide. *Water Science and Technology, 73*(3), 453-462. doi:10.2166/wst.2015.521

Glasser, H., Chang, D., & Hickman, D. (1991). An Analysis of Biomedical Waste Incineration. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 41*(9), 1180-1188. doi:10.1080/10473289.1991.10466913

Gul, S., Ullah, Q., & Qasim, M. (2022). Bio-Waste Management Legislation Regulations and Policies: A Case Study of Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of International Affairs, 5*(3). doi:10.52337/pjia.v5i3.602

Jäger, M. O., Morooka, E. V., Federici Canova, F., Himanen, L., & Foster, A. S. (2018). Machine Learning Hydrogen Adsorption on Nanoclusters through Structural Descriptors. *npj Computational Materials, 4*(1), 1-8. doi:10.1038/s41524-018-0096-5

Löw, P., Osterburg, B., & Klages, S. (2021). Comparison of Regulatory Approaches for Determining Application Limits for Nitrogen Fertilizer use in Germany. *Environmental Research Letters, 16*(5), 055009. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/abf3de

Meyer-Kohlstock, D., Hädrich, G., Bidlingmaier, W., & Kraft, E. (2013). The Value of Composting in Germany–Economy, Ecology, and Legislation. *Waste management, 33*(3), 536-539. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2012.08.020

Montoneri, E. (2017). Municipal Waste Treatment, Technological Scale up and Commercial Exploitation: The Case of Bio-waste Lignin to Soluble Lignin-like Polymers. *Food Waste Reduction and Valorisation*, 79-120. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-50088-1_6

Mühle, S., Balsam, I., & Cheeseman, C. (2010). Comparison of Carbon Emissions Associated with Municipal Solid Waste Management in Germany and the UK. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 54*(11), 793-801. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2009.12.009

Nelles, M., Gruenes, J., & Morscheck, G. (2016). Waste Management in Germany–Development to a Sustainable Circular Economy? *Procedia Environmental Sciences, 35*, 6-14. doi:10.1016/j.proenv.2016.07.001

Rajan, R., Robin, D. T., & Vandanarani, M. (2019). Biomedical Waste Management in Ayurveda Hospitals–Current Practices and Future Prospectives. *Journal of Ayurveda and integrative medicine, 10*(3), 214-221. doi:10.1016/j.jaim.2017.07.011

Schüch, A., Morscheck, G., Lemke, A., & Nelles, M. (2016). Bio-Waste Recycling in Germany–Further Challenges. *Procedia Environmental Sciences, 35*, 308-318. doi:10.1016/j.proenv.2016.07.011

Slater, R., & Frederickson, J. (2009). *Enhancing Sustainable Biowaste Management in the UK: The Role of the Commercial and Community Composting Sectors*. http://oro.open.ac.uk/22930/1/slater_ISWA_conference_09_final.pdf

Taffuri, A., Sciullo, A., Diemer, A., & Nedelciu, C. E. (2021). Integrating Circular Bioeconomy and Urban Dynamics to Define an Innovative Management of Bio-Waste: The Study Case of Turin. *Sustainability, 13*(11), 6224. doi:10.3390/su13116224