## iRASD Journal of Economics



Volume 6, Number 2, 2024, Pages, Pages 313 - 326

irasd JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS

TERNATIONAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATION FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Journal Home Page:

https://journals.internationalrasd.org/index.php/joe

# Link Between Political and Economic Stability: A Case Study of Pakistan

Maria Faiq Javaid<sup>1</sup>, Amna Ibrahim<sup>2</sup>, Atif Khan Jadoon<sup>3</sup>, Ismat Nasim<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, School of Economics, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. Email: mariafaiq.eco@pu.edu.pk

<sup>2</sup> Research Scholars, School of Economics, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. Email: amnaibrahim588@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Assistant Professor, School of Economics, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. Email: atifkhan.eco@pu.edu.pk

<sup>4</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, The Sadiq College Women University, Bahawalpur, Pakistan. Email: ismat.nasim@gscwu.edu.pk

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### ABSTRACT

Article History:			Present research aims at investigating the relationship between
Received:	February	19, 2024	political and economic stability in Pakistan. Using secondary
Revised:	April	26, 2024	data over the period of 1987 to 2022, ARDL bound testing
Accepted:	Мау	18, 2024	technique is employed to obtain short-run and long-run
Available Online:	Мау	20, 2024	estimates of the regression model. It is found that political
Keywords:			stability and economic stability have a positive and significant
Government Expenditure			relationship. The study also establishes that increase in labor
Economic Growth (EG)			economic stability while government expenditure negatively
Economic Stabilit	ty (ES)		impact economic stability. Based on these conclusions, study
Monetary Policy			proposes important policy recommendations including prudent
Political Stability	(PS)		fiscal management, labor market policies for workforce growth,
ARDL Bound Test	t		efforts to promote political stability, cautious monetary policy
<b>JEL Classificatio</b> C13, E32, E52, F	<b>on Codes:</b> 43, H5		foster stability in economic growth.

#### Funding:

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.



 $\odot$  2024 The Authors, Published by iRASD. This is an Open Access Article under the Creative Common Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0

Corresponding Author's Email: <u>mariafaig.eco@pu.edu.pk</u>

**Citation:** Javaid, M. F., Ibrahim, A., Jadoon, A. K., & Nasim, I. (2024). Link Between Political and Economic Stability: A Case Study of Pakistan. *IRASD Journal of Economics*, 6(2), 313–326. <u>https://doi.org/10.52131/joe.2024.0602.0208</u>

## 1. Introduction

Political stability (PS) is considered a prerequisite for the sustainable development of a country, as it has a significant positive impact on the economic environment (Feng, 1997; Shahabadi & Bahari, 2014). PS is essential to community stability and trust in the government and also helps to reduce uncertainty that results in higher investment and country's economic growth (EG) (Baillie et al., 2021; Singha & Singh, 2022). In addition, PS leads to rational and efficient policy decisions by the government, which in turn promotes transparency and accountability (Ali, 2001). Political unrest affects economic performance for two reasons. Primarily, it prevents society from building up physical capital and secondly, creates

uncertainty about future returns on investments made by businesses and private individuals. Further, political unrest directly impacts productivity because it affects how the market works (Drazen, 2002).

Policy-making institutions globally recognize the significance of the relationship between PS and EG, especially in developing economies with low GDP per capita. In this regard Barro (1991) conducted a comprehensive study to check how EG rates and PS are correlated in 98 nations of the world. The results confirmed the existence of a correlation between EG and PS. Extensive literature is available showing a two-way cause-and-effect relationship between EG and PS (Dirks & Schmidt, 2023; Husain, 2009; Paldam, 1998; Zablotsky, 1996). Unstable economic growth affects the country's investment environment as people move capital out of the country, negatively affecting a nation's economic health. It affects domestic and foreign investment, which, in turn, slows down a country's growth rate (Gyimah-Brempong & Traynor, 1999; Qadri, Shah, & Nadeem Qureshi, 2020).

The political uncertainty in Pakistan negatively affects the EG rate (Memon, Memon, Shaikh, & Memon, 2011). According to Zeeshan, Rehman, Ullah, Hussain, and Afridi (2022), political uncertainty has been an unfortunate reality in Pakistan for the last many decades, causing a slower economic growth rate in the country. The political destabilization and discontinuity of the investment and tax policies discourage investment in Pakistan's industrial sector, leading to economic backwardness. The politically unstable environment creates many problems for the EG of Pakistan. The political dynamics and EG relationship are exemplary in the case of Pakistan, where no elected prime minister has completed their five-year tenure (Mufti, 2023). According to Haider, ud Din, and Ghani (2011), the potential for a higher growth rate in the case of Pakistan is immense, but it needs consistent policies and the trust of the stakeholders in the state policies. Political uncertainty is one of the major reasons for low economic development in Pakistan (Gill, 2010; Husain, 2009).

If we look at the history of Pakistan, the political uncertainty and bad economic conditions including inflation, unemployment, and poverty paved the way for the nondemocratic forces to intervene and rule. Pakistan has been governed for almost 33 years under dictatorship (Gill, 2010; Mufti, 2023; Sallahuddin & Awan, 2017). Governance in Pakistan has always remained an issue because political parties coming into power feel insecure and work only for their survival and create an unstable economic environment. The long-term policies are not formed for the country. It is also evident that the EG rate of Pakistan has remained high during dictatorship and low during political regimes (Akram, 2023). As a developing nation, Pakistan struggles with the complexity of its political environment, which is shaped by various domestic and external factors, further highlighting the fragility of its PS. The prevailing corruption during political chaos contributes to a decline in the country's economic progress. The weak political system and government institutions can not control the rampant political tensions within the nation. Such situation impedes EG in Pakistan (Easterly, 2001). Husain (2009) critically questions the historical stability of Pakistan's political landscape, tracing its impact on economic fluctuations. Accordingly, it is assumed that political problems is one of the reasons why the country is still classified as a lower-middle-income country (Bank, 2017).

The significance of the present study lies in unraveling the intricate relationship between political and economic stability in Pakistan, a country that witnessed unstable economic and political situation over decades (Khan, Zahra, & Khan, 2024). Pakistan has experienced frequent transitions from democratic to dictator regimes, political upheavals, and fluctuating macroeconomic indicators over decades, all of these reasons make this country an ideal case for studying the relationship between political and economic stability. Furthermore, given the strategic location of Pakistan, any instability in political and economic scenario has global implications. Understanding the political and economic linkage in this country is therefore crucial from diplomatic perspective.

### 2. Literature Review

The political system in developing countries and its built-in instability have greatly affected developing countries. According to Alesina and Perotti (1996), it is observed that a country where governments are changed frequently experiences lower EG rates. Numerous other researchers have confirmed that political instability hurts EG. Countries with robust PS mechanisms have lower levels of uncertainty and fewer citizens demanding greater transparency and accountability. They can better use financial information to promote transparency and accountability (Hollyer, Rosendorff, & Vreeland, 2019; Martinez, 2022). An uncertain political climate inhibits private investment, which harms the economy (Devereux & Wen, 1995; Moscona & Seck, 2021). Campos and Karanasos (2008) conducted an empirical study on Argentina using an extensive data set from 1896 to 2000. The authors check the effect of PS on the country's EG. Political instability is divided into two parts: informal instability, measured through assassinations and strikes in the country, and constitutional and legislative changes, measured as formal instability. The authors concluded the study on a note that both types of instability negatively affect the country's economic performance. In addition, the authors concluded that the long-run (LR) effect of formal instability on the country's economic performance is significant.

Aisen and Veiga (2013) conducted an empirical study by taking a sample of 169 countries and data from 1960 to 20004 to check the effect of PS on per capita income growth. In another study, Younis, Anquetin, and Thielen (2008) conducted a panel data study of 93 countries using data from 1960 to 1990 to check the impact of PS and EG. The results of the study showed a strong relationship between PS and EG. Loss of investor confidence, which produces a reduction in business activity, a decline in aggregate demand, and eventually a recession of all economic activity, is one of the factors contributing to weaker EG in politically unstable conditions (Aisen & Veiga, 2013; Marks, 2022; Pin, Eldridge, & Galea, 2009; Smith, 1987). Long-term EG is impeded by high borrowing due to unstable political leaders' short-term (SR) fiscal policies (Edwards & Tabellini, 1991; Nguyen et al., 2022).

There are several ways that PS might influence ES, such as trade, government spending, and consumption, which tend to fall substantially in developing nations experiencing prolonged political crisis (Aisen & Veiga, 2013; Azeem, Awan, Jadoon, & Sair, 2015). According to a study of forty-eight Sub-Saharan African countries from 1985–2012, more robust political institutions tend to increase the labor force participation rate (LFPR), which in turn helps a nation's EG. In other words, PS may influence growth through a variety of channels, including the creation of an environment that is conducive to business expansion, the attraction of domestic and foreign investment, the creation of employment opportunities, and migration toward urban areas that boost aggregate demand and promote EG (Cooray, Dutta, & Mallick, 2017; Maloney, 2003; Miljkovic & Rimal, 2008).

Since independence, Pakistan has been dealing with political unrest in both the political and economic spheres. Noonari and Dashnyam (2022) conducted an empirical study to check the effect of PIS and the socioeconomic situation of Sindh by taking data from 1988 to 2008. The results concluded that peace and PS are essential for the country's social and political development. Pakistan's dismal performance was primarily due to the elected government's inefficient economic policies, which were put in place after prolonged periods of unstable political scenario. Pakistan has never experienced a seamless power transfer between governments (Zaidi, 2005). This political uncertainty and instability have resulted in a decline in EG (Akhtari, Moreira, & Trucco, 2022; Tabassam, Hashmi, & Rehman, 2016). The major causes of poor economic performance and lower investment have been non-economic factors such as corruption, numerous regime shifts, governance crises, energy crises, and political rivalries between parties and institutions. These non-economic aspects rendered the state in precarious and uncertain conditions. Domestic investors withdrew their investments from Pakistan because of the risk and volatility and invested in neighboring states in the quest for higher returns. This capital mobility has come to be blamed for Pakistan's subpar EG.

The government responds to social and political unrest on a political and economic level. To explore the connections between the type of political regime and PS, the researchers conducted quarterly analyses from January 1996 to December 2001. Annual data was also used to examine the dynamics of the economy and politics. Along with other findings, the researchers concluded that economic aspects were equally responsible for political developments as political events (Berthélemy, Kauffmann, Renard, & Wegner, 2002; Lowes & Montero, 2021).

From the review of existing literature, PS and ES have a strong relation in the context of developing and developed countries. For Pakistan, a few studies are available that fill the gap in the existing literature on this issue. However, a detailed analysis of the relationship between politics and ES using the latest datasets is still required to establish a robust connection between the two. Furthermore, it is crucial to identify the direction of causality, if any, between politics and ES. The present study aims to overcome this research gap.

### 3. Data and Methodology

## **3.1.** Description of Data

The present study uses annual data from 1987-2022, obtained from various sources, including IMF publications, WDI, and World Governance Indicators (WGI). The included variables in the research and their definition are presented in Table 1.

## Table 1

Va	rish	loc	Decc	ri	ntion
-u	iiuu	103	Dese	••	puon

Variable	Definition
Economic stability	ES is measured through the GDP coefficient of variation. GDP coefficient of
(ES)	variation is a statistical tool to access the relative variability of GDP across time
	and regions. It shows the relative stability of the output of a country.
Political Stability	PS measures absence of conflicts in masses, terrorism, and violence in society.
Index (PS)	Data is obtained from WGI.
Government	GE is measured through the government purchases of goods and services. Most
Expenditure (GE)	national defence and security expenses are included (Afonso & Jalles, 2011). The
	data on GE is obtained from IMF publications.
Total Labor Force	People 15 years old and older make up the total labor force and work within a
(TLF)	given period to produce goods and services (Wachter, Gordon, Piore, & Hall,
	1974). The data is measured in natural log and retrieved from WDI.
Physical Capital	PCF includes commercial and industrial buildings, hospitals, land improvements,
Formation (PCF)	machinery and equipment, offices, purchase of plants, railways, roads,
	and private residences (Afonso & Jalles, 2011). The data source for this variable is
	IMF publications.
Trade Openness	TO is measured through trade volume as a percentage of GDP, as used by (Tahir,
(10)	2014). Data is obtained from WDI.
Real Discount	DR is the minimum interest rate (inflation-adjusted) set by a country's central
Kate (DK)	bank for lending to other banks (Aliyu, 2009). The data is obtained from IFS.

#### **3.2. Econometric Model**

The econometric model of the study is as given below:

$$ES_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} * PS_{t} + \beta_{2} * GE_{t} + \beta_{3} * TLF_{t} + \beta_{4} * PCF_{t} + \beta_{5} * TO_{t} + \beta_{6} * DR_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(1)

All  $\beta$ s are the parameters, indicating each independent variable's intercept and corresponding coefficient, which needs to be estimated. Whereas the error term  $\epsilon_t$  stands for random variations and factors not considered by the model.

#### 3.3. Econometric Methodology

The methodology of this study consists of various pre-estimation, estimation, and post-estimation tests.

#### 3.3.1.Unit Root Test

Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) is used in this study to check the stationarity of the data. The equation of the ADF test is given as follows:

$$y_{t} = c + \beta_{t} + \alpha y_{t-1} + \phi_{1} \Delta Y_{t-1} + \phi_{2} \Delta Y_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_{0} \Delta Y_{t-0} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(2)

Where,  $\beta$  is time trend coefficient, p = the lag order. The null hypothesis of the test assumes presence of a unit root in a time series.

#### 3.3.2.Lag Selection Criterion

The lag selection criterion is typically used to determine the appropriate lag length for time series variables in a model before estimating the parameters. Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Hannan- Quinn (HQ) Criteria, and Schwarz Information Criterion (SIC) are the most commonly used lag selection criteria since AIC is the more precise criterion to measure the lags (Cavanaugh & Neath, 2019).

AIC = 2K - 2ln(L)SIC = klog(n) - 2log(L( $\theta$ )

Where k represents the number of parameters in the model. L represents the likelihood how well the model is fitted, and n represents the sample size.

#### 3.3.3.Auto Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL)

It is the most widely used technique for checking the long-term relationship among variables. ARDL is a more suitable estimation method when a researcher wants to include lags of dependent and independent variables in the same model. ARDL is preferred over other regression estimation methods due to many reasons. One prominent reason for using ARDL is that it accommodates variables with mixed order of integration. Moreover, ARDL also provides the results of SR and LR relationships among variables.

$$Y_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} Y_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_{p} Y_{t-m} + \alpha_{0} X_{t} + \alpha_{1} X_{t-1} + \alpha_{2} X_{t-2} + \dots + \alpha_{q} X_{t-n} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
(5)

Where,  $Y_t$  = dependent variable and  $X_t$  = explanatory variables,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are coefficients.  $\epsilon_t$ = vector of the error terms. The estimation equation for ARDL is presented as under:

 $\Delta ES_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}ES_{t-1} + \beta_{2}PS_{t-1} + \beta_{3}GE_{t-1} + \beta_{4}TLF_{t-1} + \beta_{5}PCF_{t-1} + \beta_{6}TO_{t-1} + \beta_{7}DR_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\beta_{8i}\Delta ES_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\beta_{9i}\Delta PS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\beta_{10i}\Delta GE_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\beta_{11i}\Delta TLF_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\beta_{12i}\Delta PCF_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\beta_{13i}\Delta TO_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho}\beta_{14i}\Delta DR_{t-i} + \epsilon_{t}$ 

(3)

(4)

# 3.3.4.Error Correction Method (ECM)

ECM is used to estimate the SR results and adjust if there is LR disequilibrium. Through ECM, we obtain the error correction term (ECT), which tells the annual rate of speed of adjustment from the SR to the LR of the estimated model.

 $\Delta ES_{t} = \beta_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \beta_{1i} \Delta ES_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \beta_{2i} \Delta PS_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \beta_{3i} \Delta GE_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \beta_{4i} \Delta TLF_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \beta_{5i} \Delta PCF_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \beta_{6i} \Delta TO_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho} \beta_{7i} \Delta DR_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{t}$ (7)

## 3.4. Diagnostic Tests 3.4.1.Test for Serial Correlation

Breusch-Godfrey test is applied to check the serial correlation in the model's error terms. The problem of autocorrelation arises when the error terms are correlated with each other in different periods. The equation of autocorrelation is given as:

 $LM = nR^2 \sim \chi^2(P)$ 

(8)

(9)

Where n is the sample size,  $R^2$  is coefficient of determination,  $\chi^2$  is the Chi-squared statistics, P is the number parameters. The null hypothesis of no serial correlation.

## 3.4.2.Test for Heteroscedasticity

Breusch-Pagan Godfrey test is used to check the problem of heteroscedasticity. The null hypothesis of the test states that the residuals are homoscedastic. The test statistic of this test follows a chi-square distribution.

## 3.4.3.Jarque-Bera Normality Test

The traditional Jarque-Bera test is used to check the normality of the distribution. The test results are based on kurtosis and skewness of the data. Jarque-Bera test checks whether kurtosis and skewness of the data follow the expected values for a normal distribution.

$$JB = n/6(S^2 + 1/4(K - 3)^2)$$

Where n is the total number of observations, S is skewness, and K is Kurtosis. The test's null hypothesis states that normality exists in the data.

## 3.4.4.Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) and Cumulative Sum of Squares (CUSUMSQ) Tests

CUSUM and CUSUMSQ are statistical techniques for detecting changes or shifts in a data series over time. This is required for stability analysis because unbalanced coefficients lead to unpredictable results.

# 4. Discussions & Findings

## 4.1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 2 summarizes the descriptive statistics of all the variables in the model.

Descriptive stats are computed for 36 observations, and the results are displayed in Table 2. Mean displays the mean values of the data set. The variable TO have a maximum

average value of 31.93417. ES has a minimum average value of 2.129067. The median displays the middle value of the data.

Descriptive	Statistics					
Variables	Mean	Median	Std Dev.	Maximum	Minimum	Observation
ES	2.129067	0.893414	3.066257	1.358076	9.230000	36
PS	1.645259	1.610278	0.770589	0.329660	2.810035	36
PCF	15.55861	15.58000	1.819953	19.11000	12.52000	36
GE	27.30085	26.94979	1.354835	29.54708	25.07331	36
TLF	17.71318	17.75130	0.307489	18.18381	17.16575	36
DR	0.497021	0.303038	0.830603	4.178571	0.552684	36
ТО	31.93417	32.84000	3.902848	38.49000	24.70000	36

Table 2

Source: Authors' Calculations

Meanwhile, standard deviation depicts how a variable deviates from the mean value. While TO exhibits the largest deviation, TLF exhibits the least. The maximum value for TO is 38.49000, and the minimum value for ES is 9.230000.

#### 4.2. Unit Root Test

The results of ADF test are presented in Table 3.

T	ab	le	3
	-		

ADF Test		
Variables	Level	First Difference
ES	0.550050 (0.9990)	8.941586 (0.0000)*
PS	1.668274 (0.4378)	3.801709 (0.0066)*
PCF	1.632702 (0.4557)	5.229536 (0.0001)*
GE	0.136873 (0.9374)	6.516491 (0.0000)*
TLF	2.038477 (0.2698)	4.742243 (0.0005)*
DR	6.171957 (0.0000)*	7.259731 (0.0000)*
ТО	1.993605 (0.2882)	5.546137 (0.0001)*

Source: Authors' Calculations

Table 3 shows the estimated coefficients of the model while p-values are given in the parenthesis. The asterisk (\*) shows that the variable is stationary at 1%. The results indicate that only one variable i.e. DR is stationary at a level while all the other variables are stationary at first difference.

## 4.3. Lag Selection Criterion

The results of both AIC and HQ tests exhibit that there should be a maximum of 3 lags, while the results of the SIC test show there should be one lag.

Lag Length Selection Criterion				
Lag	AIC	SIC	HQ	
0	14.71	15.03	14.82	
1	2.79	5.33*	3.65	
2	1.58	6.35	3.19	
3	0.29*	7.27	2.64*	

# Table 4

\* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

As discussed earlier, AIC is the most suitable criterion for lag selection as AIC offers more accurate and precise information. So, a maximum of 3 lags are selected. Table 4 shows the results of different tests conducted for lag selection.

## 4.4. ARDL Bound Testing Approach

ARDL bound test results are used to check the LR relationship among variables. The results of the test are presented in Table 5.

#### Table 5 Bound Tests

Test Statistics	Val	ue
F-statistics	10.28	3000
	Critical Value Bounds	
Significance at	I(0)	I(1)
5%	2.27	3.28
1%	2.88	3.99

Source: Authors' Calculations

Value of F-statistics is 10.28, which is greater than the value of upper bound 3.99. This result provides the basis for applying the ARDL estimation approach in the next step. The estimated coefficients of the model are presented in Table 6.

#### Table 6 ARDL LR Coefficients

Dependent Variable: ES				
Variable	Coefficient			
GE	-11.01576 (0.0000)*			
TLF	43.71036 (0.0000)*			
PCF	0.010613 (0.9692)			
PS	2.352409 (0.0143)*			
DR	2.682922 (0.0013)*			
ТО	0.566880 (0.0015)*			
С	499.0290 (0.0000)*			

Source: Authors' Calculations

## 4.5. Long Run Results

Table 6 shows that variables including GE, TLF, PS, DR, and TO are statistically significant at 1%, indicating a LR relationship with the dependent variable ES. PCF is not statistically significant, suggesting it does not have a LR impact on ES.

The present study finds a positive and significant relationship between PS and ES in Pakistan. 1 percent increase in PS leads to 2.35 percent increase in ES in the long run. Results of a study by Aisen and Veiga (2013) for 169 countries using data from 1960 to 2004, suggest that PS is positively associated with the economic performance of the countries. The PS authors conclude the study on а note that is the primary driver of the people's trust in government policies and brings certainty about the future that, in turn, increases the EG of a country. Another study by Alesina and Perotti (1996) also concludes that political crisis hinders EG. In case of Pakistan, Karamat, Muzaffar, and Shah (2019) also establish a negative and significant relationship between political problems and Pakistan's economic performance.

Government expenditure is negatively related to ES in the LR. If government expenditure increases by 1 percent, ES decreases by 11 percent, on average, in the LR. Some other studies have also found a negative relationship between the two. The results coincide with the study of Landau (1983), which has shown that government expenditure negatively affects national output for 96 countries. Another study by Fölster and Henrekson (2001) also finds a negative and significant effect of government expenditure on the economic stability of rich countries of the world. Higher consumption spending by the government decreases the availability of development funds necessary for forming social overhead capital, which reduces the economy's growth rate (Afonso & Jalles, 2011).

The total labor force is positively associated with ES. 1 percent increase in the total labor force increases ES by 43.7 percent in the LR because a higher labor force plays a vital role in the economy's growth. Neoclassical theory considers labor as an essential factor of production, helping to increase economic growth rates. A recent study carried out in Romania from 2013 to 2019 has also proven that the labor force significantly affects EG (Wijaya, Kasuma, & Darma, 2021). Physical capital formation has no significant impact on growth in the context of the present analysis.

The real discount rate is positively associated with ES. 1 percent increase in discount rate leads to a rise of 2.68 percent in ES in the LR. An increase in the actual discount rate increases ES because tighter monetary policy, in many instances, results in more excellent ES and less variation in GDP. An increase in real discount rate discourages excessive debt accumulation by raising the cost of borrowing. This can prevent asset bubbles and speculative activities leading to financial crises. In this way, it helps in maintaining a more stable financial system. Higher real interest rates provide a greater incentive for households to save rather than spend. Increased savings can lead to higher levels of capital accumulation, EG in the LR, and act as a buffer during economic downturns.

The results of the present study also show that TO positively and significantly affects Pakistan's ES in the LR. The results show a 1% increase in TO, which leads to the ES of Pakistan, which is 0.56 % in the LR. Many studies have advocated the positive effect of trade openness on ES. A study by Romer (1990) also proves the positive and significant relationship between TO and EG for the 90 countries. Romer (1990) propagates that TO is the primary source of improved technology and production, which leads to more EG in a country.

## 4.6. Vector Error Correction Model (VECM)

Dependent Variable: DES					
Coefficient	Variable	Coefficient			
0.947530 (0.1327)	D(PS)	0.470355 (0.2649)			
1.675152* (0.0254)	D(PS(2))	3.023924* (0.0000)			
1.98235 (0.6325)	D(PS(3))	2.632574** (0.06325)			
5.374153 (0.1533)	D(DR)	0.249792* (0.0030)			
1.32569 (0.08547)	D(DR(2))	0.03258 (0.56217)			
0.63254 (2.06854)	D(DR(3))	0.284668* (0.0004)			
0.250681* (0.0009)	D(TO)	0.051770** (0.0649)			
0.029625** 0.08521)	D(TO(2))	0.03251 (0.74125)			
0.039655 (0.98514)	D(TO(3))	0.00125 (0.96251)			
-0.350963** (0.0000)					
10.28000 (0.0085)	R-squared	0.879799			
	Dependent Var Coefficient 0.947530 (0.1327) 1.675152* (0.0254) 1.98235 (0.6325) 5.374153 (0.1533) 1.32569 (0.08547) 0.63254 (2.06854) 0.250681* (0.0009) 0.029625** 0.08521) 0.039655 (0.98514) -0.350963** (0.0000) 10.28000 (0.0085)	Dependent Variable: DESCoefficientVariable0.947530 (0.1327)D(PS)1.675152* (0.0254)D(PS(2))1.98235 (0.6325)D(PS(3))5.374153 (0.1533)D(DR)1.32569 (0.08547)D(DR(2))0.63254 (2.06854)D(DR(3))0.250681* (0.0009)D(TO)0.029625** 0.08521)D(TO(2))0.039655 (0.98514)D(TO(3))-0.350963** (0.0000)10.28000 (0.0085)R-squared			

Table 7 includes the SR estimates calculated through VECM. **Table 7** 

Source: Authors' Calculations

The error correction term (ECT) has been used to analyse the model's SR dynamics. The coefficient value of ECT is -0.351, which is highly significant at a 1% level. The value of ECT suggests that the annual speed of adjustment of the model from the short to the LR is approximately 0.351%.  $R^2$  predicts that the model explains 87.97% of changes in dependent

variables through the independent variables. The value of the F-statistic is also significant at a 5% level, indicating that the overall model is significant.

## 4.7. Diagnostic Tests

For the robustness of the analysis, various diagnostic tests are carried out to check the presence of serial correlation, heteroscedasticity, and normal distribution of residuals in the model. The results confirm that there are no issues of autocorrelation and hetroscedasticity while errors are normally distributed.

#### Table 8 Diagnostic Tests

Test	F Statistic	
Breusch-Godfrey Test	2.447082	
	F stat (3, 14): (0.1069)	
Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Test	1.499673	
	F stat (16, 17): (0.2077)	
Jarque-Bera Normality Test	J.B statistic: 1.125274	
	(0.569705)	

Source: Authors' Calculations

# 4.8. CUSUM and CUSUMSQ Test

Figures 1 and 2 show output of CUSUM and CUSUMSQ tests respectively. CUSUM and CUSUMSQ tests are used to check the structural breaks, if any, in the model. Red dotted lines show the critical region at a 5% significance level, and blue lines show the CUSUM and CUSUMSQ of the model. If the cumulative sum and cumulative sum of squares lie between the critical regions, then the model has no structural breaks and predicts policy implications well. It is clear that model is stable over time.



# 5. Conclusion

The study aims at investigating the link between political and economic stability in Pakistan using secondary data from 1987 to 2022. ADF unit root test declares the variables of the study having mixed order of integration. The ARDL model with a bound testing approach is applied for mixed-order variables, as proposed by (Pesaran, Shin, & Smith, 2001). Optimal laglength is selected by AIC and HQ criteria. The LR coefficients of the regression model are estimated using the ARDL bound testing approach, while the VECM is used to estimate SR results. Different diagnostic tests are employed to test the heterogeneity, serial correlation, and model stability.

The results of the study show that PS is essential for ES. ES is also affected by other regressors in the model. The variables TLF, DR, and TO positively affect ES, while GE harms ES. CUSUM and CUSUMSQ tests are applied, which show the absence of structural breaks and suggests that the model is a good fit for policy recommendations. Diagnostic tests verify that errors are normally distributed and absence of any issues of heteroscedasticity and serial correlation in the model.

## 5.1. Policy Recommendation

ES is a multifaceted issue influenced by various factors. Policymakers need to adopt a comprehensive and coordinated approach to economic policy. This should include fiscal, monetary, and structural policies that aim to maintain macroeconomic stability, promote investment and productivity, and foster a favorable business environment. Given the negative relationship between government expenditure and ES, policymakers should consider prudent fiscal management and avoid excessive government spending. Reducing government expenditure could help stabilize the economy and minimize fluctuations in GDP. Since the total labor force increase is positively associated with economic stability, policymakers should focus on labor market policies that promote workforce growth and efficiency. The results highlighted that PS fosters EG and brings ES. The political system in Pakistan needs to be changed, and political parties should sit together to form a road map for the stability of the political system. The five-year term should be completed to have long-term stable policies and for people trust in the government. This will increase the country's investment. Policymakers should continue to promote trade openness and international economic integration. Encouraging international trade can lead to increased innovation, productivity, and growth, which may contribute to overall ES.

### Author's Contribution:

Maria Faiq Javaid: Conceived the idea, and revised and approved the final version. Amna Ibrahim: Conceived the idea, and revised and approved the final version. Atif Khan Jadoon: Wrote proofread the draft. Ismat Nasim: Retrieved the data set and conducted data analysis,

## **Conflict of interest/ Disclosures:**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest w.r.t the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

# References

- Afonso, A., & Jalles, J. T. (2011). Economic Performance and Government Size. doi:<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1950570</u>
- Aisen, A., & Veiga, F. J. (2013). How Does Political Instability Affect Economic Growth? *European Journal of Political Economy, 29*, 151-167. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2012.11.001
- Akhtari, M., Moreira, D., & Trucco, L. (2022). Political Turnover, Bureaucratic Turnover, and the Quality of Public Services. *American Economic Review*, *112*(2), 442-493. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20171867">https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20171867</a>
- Akram, S. (2023). Political Instability in Pakistan: An Examination from 2018 to Present. *Harf-o-Sukhan*, 7(3), 220-237.
- Alesina, A., & Perotti, R. (1996). Income Distribution, Political Instability, and Investment. *European Economic Review, 40*(6), 1203-1228. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-2921(95)00030-5</u>
- Ali, A. M. (2001). Political Instability, Policy Uncertainty, and Economic Growth: An Empirical Investigation. *Atlantic Economic Journal*, *29*, 87-106.
- Aliyu, S. U. R. (2009). Impact of Oil Price Shock and Exchange Rate Volatility on Economic Growth in Nigeria: An Empirical Investigation.

- Azeem, A., Awan, A., Jadoon, A. K., & Sair, S. A. (2015). Impact of Financial and Real Assets on Volatility in Kse100 Index. *Science International*, *27*(1).
- Baillie, E., Howe, P. D., Perfors, A., Miller, T., Kashima, Y., & Beger, A. (2021). Explainable Models for Forecasting the Emergence of Political Instability. *Plos one*, *16*(7), e0254350.
  Bank, W. (2017). Would Bank, Classification.
- Bank, W. (2017). World Bank Classification.
- Barro, R. J. (1991). Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries. *The quarterly journal of economics*, *106*(2), 407-443.
- Berthélemy, J.-C., Kauffmann, C., Renard, L., & Wegner, L. (2002). Political Instability, Political Regimes and Economic Performance in African Countries. *Unpublished Paper Prepared for African Development Bank, African Economic Outlook, Paris*.
- Campos, N. F., & Karanasos, M. G. (2008). Growth, Volatility and Political Instability: Non-Linear Time-Series Evidence for Argentina, 1896–2000. *Economics Letters*, 100(1), 135-137. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2007.12.013</u>
- Cavanaugh, J. E., & Neath, A. A. (2019). The Akaike Information Criterion: Background, Derivation, Properties, Application, Interpretation, and Refinements. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics, 11*(3), e1460. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.1460
- Cooray, A., Dutta, N., & Mallick, S. (2017). Trade Openness and Labor Force Participation in Africa: The Role of Political Institutions. *Industrial Relations: A Journal of Economy and Society*, *5*6(2), 319-350. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/irel.12175</u>
- Devereux, M. B., & Wen, J.-F. (1995). *Political Uncertainty, Capital Taxation, and Growth*. Retrieved from
- Dirks, M., & Schmidt, T. (2023). *The Relationship between Political Instability and Economic Growth in Advanced Economies: Empirical Evidence from a Panel Var and a Dynamic Panel Fe-Iv Analysis*: Ruhr Economic Papers.
- Drazen, A. (2002). Political Economy in Macroeconomics: Princeton University Press.
- Easterly, W. (2001). The Middle Class Consensus and Economic Development. *Journal of economic growth*, 6(4), 317-335. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012786330095</u>
- Edwards, S., & Tabellini, G. (1991). Political Instability, Political Weakness and Inflation: An Empirical Analysis. In: National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge, Mass., USA.
- Feng, Y. (1997). Democracy, Political Stability and Economic Growth. *British journal of political science*, *27*(3), 391-418.
- Fölster, S., & Henrekson, M. (2001). Growth Effects of Government Expenditure and Taxation in Rich Countries. *European Economic Review*, 45(8), 1501-1520. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921(00)00083-0</u>
- Gill, J. (2010). Political Instability and Economic Development: Pakistan Time-Series Analysis. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 56*, 179-192.
- Gyimah-Brempong, K., & Traynor, T. L. (1999). Political Instability, Investment and Economic Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of African Economies*, 8(1), 52-86.
- Haider, A., ud Din, M., & Ghani, E. (2011). Consequences of Political Instability, Governance and Bureaucratic Corruption on Inflation and Growth: The Case of Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 773-807.
- Hollyer, J. R., Rosendorff, B. P., & Vreeland, J. R. (2019). Transparency, Protest and Democratic Stability. *British journal of political science*, 49(4), 1251-1277. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123417000308
- Husain, I. (2009). The Role of Politics in Pakistan's Economy. *Journal of International Affairs*, 63(1), 1-18.
- Karamat, S., Muzaffar, M., & Shah, A. S. (2019). The Politics of Religious Extremism in Pakistan: An Analysis. *Review of Economics and Development Studies, 5*(2), 315-322. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.26710/reads.v5i2.604</u>
- Khan, N. F., Zahra, A., & Khan, J. (2024). The Stability of Pakistan Economy and Imf Deals: An Analysis. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review, 8*(1), 319-332. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.35484/pssr.2024(8-I)29</u>
- Landau, D. (1983). Government Expenditure and Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Study. *Southern economic journal*, 783-792.

- Lowes, S., & Montero, E. (2021). The Legacy of Colonial Medicine in Central Africa. *American Economic Review*, 111(4), 1284-1314. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20180284</u>
- Maloney, W. F. (2003). Informal Self-Employment: Poverty Trap or Decent Alternative? In *Pathways out of Poverty: Private Firms and Economic Mobility in Developing Countries* (pp. 65-82): Springer.
- Marks, G. N. (2022). Cognitive Ability Has Powerful, Widespread and Robust Effects on Social Stratification: Evidence from the 1979 and 1997 Us National Longitudinal Surveys of Youth. *Intelligence*, *94*, 101686. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2022.101686</u>
- Martinez, L. R. (2022). How Much Should We Trust the Dictator's Gdp Growth Estimates? Journal of Political Economy, 130(10), 2731-2769. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1086/720458</u>
- Memon, A. P., Memon, K. S., Shaikh, S., & Memon, F. (2011). Political Instability: A Case Study of Pakistan. J. Pol. Stud., 18, 31.
- Miljkovic, D., & Rimal, A. (2008). The Impact of Socio-Economic Factors on Political Instability: A Cross-Country Analysis. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, *37*(6), 2454-2463. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socec.2008.04.007</u>
- Moscona, J., & Seck, A. A. (2021). Age Set Vs. Kin: Culture and Financial Ties in East Africa. *Kin: Culture and Financial Ties in East Africa (November 3, 2021)*. doi:https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3956141
- Mufti, M. (2023). Pakistan in 2022: A Year of Crisis and Instability. Asian Survey, 63(2), 213-224.
- Nguyen, N. N., Houhamdi, L., Hoang, V. T., Delerce, J., Delorme, L., Colson, P., . . . Gautret, P. (2022). Sars-Cov-2 Reinfection and Covid-19 Severity. *Emerging microbes & infections*, *11*(1), 894-901. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2022.2052358</u>
- Noonari, H. B., & Dashnyam, A. (2022). Impact of Political Instability on Political and Socio-Economic Conditions of Sindh from 1988 to 2008. *Propel Journal of Academic Research*, 2(2), 53-73. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.55464/pjar.v2i2.40</u>
- Paldam, M. (1998). *Does Economic Growth Lead to Political Stability?* Paper presented at the The Political Dimension of Economic Growth: Proceedings of the IEA Conference held in San José, Costa Rica.
- Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J. (2001). Bounds Testing Approaches to the Analysis of Level Relationships. *Journal of applied econometrics*, 16(3), 289-326. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.616</u>
- Pin, T. W., Eldridge, B., & Galea, M. P. (2009). A Review of Developmental Outcomes of Term Infants with Post-Asphyxia Neonatal Encephalopathy. *European Journal of Paediatric Neurology*, 13(3), 224-234. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpn.2008.05.001</u>
- Qadri, N., Shah, N., & Nadeem Qureshi, M. (2020). Impact of Political Instability on International Investment and Trade in Pakistan. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 9(2), pp. 283-305.
- Romer, P. M. (1990). Endogenous Technological Change. *Journal of Political Economy*, 98(5, Part 2), S71-S102. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1086/261725</u>
- Sallahuddin, N., & Awan, A. G. (2017). Impact of Political Instability on Pakistan's Economic Growth. *Global Journal of Management, Social Sciences and Humanities, 3*(4), 729-748.
- Shahabadi, A., & Bahari, Z. (2014). Effect of Political Stability and Economic Freedom on the Economic Growth in Selected Developed and Developing Countries. *Quarterly Journal of Economic Growth and development research*, *4*(16), 72-53.
- Singha, K., & Singh, M. A. (2022). Political Stability and Its Effect on Economy: Some Lessons from Sikkim Himalaya. *Journal of Social and Economic Development*, 24(2), 340-354.
- Smith, R. L. (1987). Estimating Tails of Probability Distributions. *The annals of Statistics*, 1174-1207. doi:<u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/2241822</u>
- Tabassam, A. H., Hashmi, S. H., & Rehman, F. U. (2016). Nexus between Political Instability and Economic Growth in Pakistan. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 230*, 325-334. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.09.041</u>
- Tahir, A. (2014). Forecasting Citrus Exports in Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 27(1).

- Wachter, M. L., Gordon, R., Piore, M. J., & Hall, R. E. (1974). Primary and Secondary Labor Markets: A Critique of the Dual Approach. *Brookings papers on economic activity*, 1974(3), 637-693.
- Wijaya, A., Kasuma, J., & Darma, D. C. (2021). Labor Force and Economic Growth Based on Demographic Pressures, Happiness, and Human Development: Empirical from Romania. *Journal of Eastern European and Central Asian Research (JEECAR), 8*(1), 40-50. doi:https://doi.org/10.15549/jeecar.v8i1.571
- Younis, J., Anquetin, S., & Thielen, J. (2008). The Benefit of High-Resolution Operational Weather Forecasts for Flash Flood Warning. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 12(4), 1039-1051. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-12-1039-2008</u>
- Zablotsky, E. E. (1996). *Political Stability and Economic Growth: A Two Way Relation* (Vol. 109): CEMA.
- Zaidi, S. A. (2005). Issues in Pakistan's Economy. *OUP Catalogue*.
- Zeeshan, M., Rehman, A., Ullah, I., Hussain, A., & Afridi, F. E. A. (2022). Exploring Symmetric and Asymmetric Nexus between Corruption, Political Instability, Natural Resources and Economic Growth in the Context of Pakistan. *Resources Policy*, *78*, 102785.